#### **Context-free Grammars**

**Computational Linguistics** 

Alexander Koller

15 November 2019

#### Sentences have structure



noun	verb phrase
verb	noun phrase
	determiner

#### Sentences have structure

Record it conveniently in *phrase structure tree*.



## Ambiguity

Special challenge: sentences can have many possible structures.



This sentence is example of *attachment ambiguity*.

#### Grammars

- A *grammar* is a finite device for describing large (possibly infinite) set of strings.
  - strings = NL expressions of various types
  - grammar captures linguistic knowledge about syntactic structure
- There are many different grammar formalisms that are being used in NLP.
- In this course we focus on *context-free grammars*.

### **Context-free grammars**

- Context-free grammar (cfg) G is 4-tuple (N,T,S,P):
  - N and T are disjoint finite sets of symbols:
     T = *terminal* symbols; N = *nonterminal* symbols.
  - $S \in N$  is the *start symbol*.
  - ▶ P is a finite set of *production rules* of the form  $A \rightarrow w$ , where A is nonterminal and w is a string from  $(N \cup T)^*$ .
- Why "context-free"?
  - Left-hand side of production is a single nonterminal A.
  - Rule can't look at context in which A appears.
  - *Context-sensitive* grammars can do that.

### Example

T = {John, ate, sandwich, a} N = {S, NP, VP, V, N, Det}; start symbol: S

Production rules:  $S \rightarrow NP VP$   $NP \rightarrow Det N$  $VP \rightarrow V NP$ 

 $V \rightarrow ate$ NP  $\rightarrow$  John Det  $\rightarrow$  a N  $\rightarrow$  sandwich



#### Some important concepts

• One-step derivation relation  $\Rightarrow$ :

 $w_1 A w_2 \Rightarrow w_1 w w_2$  iff A → w is in P ( $w_1, w_2, w$  are strings from (N  $\cup$  T)\*)

- *Derivation* relation  $\Rightarrow^*$  is reflexive, transitive closure:  $w \Rightarrow^* w_n \text{ if } w \Rightarrow w_1 \Rightarrow ... \Rightarrow w_n \text{ (for some } n \ge 0)$
- Language  $L(G) = \{ w \in T^* \mid S \Rightarrow^* w \}$

#### **Derivations and parse trees**

Parse tree provides readable, high-level view of derivation.



## **Big languages**

Number of parse trees can grow exponentially in string length.





## **Recognition and parsing**

- Let G be a cfg and w be a string.
- Word problem: is  $w \in L(G)$ ?
  - Algorithms that solve it are called *recognizers*.
- *Parsing problem:* enumerate all parse trees of w.
  - Algorithms that solve it are called *parsers*.
- Every parser also solves the word problem.

# Parsing algorithms

- How can we solve the word and parsing problem so systematically that we can implement it?
- One simple approach: shift-reduce algorithm (here: only for the word problem).
- Next time: Analyze efficiency of SR and replace it with faster algorithm: CKY.

### **Shift-Reduce Parsing**



## **Shift-Reduce Parsing**

- Read input string step by step. In each step, we have
  - the remaining input words we have not shifted yet
  - a *stack* of terminal and nonterminal symbols
- In each step, apply a rule:
  - Shift: moves the next input word to the top of the stack
  - Reduce: applies a production rule to replace top of stack with the nonterminal on the left-hand side
- Sentence is in language of cfg iff we can read the whole string and stack contains only start symbol.

### **Shift-Reduce Parsing**

- Shift rule: (s,  $a \cdot w$ )  $\rightarrow$  ( $s \cdot a, w$ )
- Reduce rule:  $(s \cdot w', w) \rightarrow (s \cdot A, w)$  if  $A \rightarrow w'$  in P
- Start: (ε, w)
- Apply rules *nondeterministically*: Claim w ∈ L(G) if there *exists* some sequence of steps that derive (S, ε) from (ε, w).

#### Nondeterminism

- Claim that string is in language of cfg iff (S, ε) can be derived by *any* sequence of shift and reduce steps.
- This is very important because there are many stack-string pairs where multiple rules can be applied:
  - shift-reduce conflict
  - reduce-reduce conflict
- In practice, we need to try all sequences out.
  - Compilers for programming languages avoid this by careful language design: no ambiguity in grammar.

# Parsing Schemata



- Parsing algorithm derives claims about the string. Record such claims in *parse items*.
- At each step, apply a *parsing rule* to infer new parse items from earlier ones.
- If there is a way to derive a *goal item* from the *start item(s)* for a given input string, then claim that this string is in the language.

### Schema for shift-reduce

- Items are of the form (s,w') where w' is a suffix of the input string w, and s is the stack.
  - Claim of this item: Underlying cfg allows the derivation
     s w' ⇒\* w
  - Call item *true* if its claim is true.
- Start item: (ε, w); goal item: (S, ε)
- Parsing rules:

$$\frac{(s, a \cdot w')}{(s \cdot a, w')} \quad (shift) \qquad \qquad \frac{(s \cdot s', w') \quad A \rightarrow s' \text{ in } P}{(s \cdot A, w')} \quad (reduce)$$

## Implementing schemas

- Can generally implement parser for given schema in the following way:
  - maintain an *agenda:* queue of items that we have discovered, but not yet attempted to combine with other items
  - maintain a *chart* of all seen items for the sentence



### **Correctness of shift-reduce**

- Why should we believe that the SR parser always makes correct claims about the word problem?
- To convince ourselves, we need to prove:
  - soundness: SR recognizer only claims w ∈ L(G) if this is true;
  - *completeness:* if w ∈ L(G) is true, then SR recognizer claims it is.

#### Soundness

- Show: If SR recognizer claims  $w \in L(G)$ , then it is true.
- Prove by induction over length k of SR derivation that all items that SR derives are true.
  - k = 0: Item is start item ( $\varepsilon$ , w). This is trivially true.
  - $k \rightarrow k+1$ : Any derivation of k+1 steps ends in a last step.
    - Shift: (ε, w) →\* (s, a w') → (s a, w').
      By induction hypothesis, (s, a w') is true, i.e.: s a w' ⇒\* w.
      Thus, (s a, w') is obviously true as well.
    - Reduce: (ε, w) →\* (s s', w') → (s A, w').
      By induction hypothesis, (s s', w') is true, i.e.: s s' w' ⇒\* w.
      Thus we have s A w' ⇒ s s' w' ⇒\* w, i.e. (s A, w') is true.

#### Completeness

- Show: If  $w \in L(G)$ , then SR recognizer claims it is true.
- Prove by induction over length of CFG derivation that if  $A \Rightarrow^* w_i \dots w_k$ , then  $(\varepsilon, w_i \dots w_k) \underset{SR}{\Rightarrow}^* (A, \varepsilon)$ .
  - length = 1: one shift + one reduce does it
  - length  $k \rightarrow k+1$ :  $A \Rightarrow B \ C \Rightarrow^* \underbrace{w_i \dots w_{j-1}}_{B} \underbrace{w_j \dots w_k}_{C}$

Then by induction hypothesis, can derive  $(\varepsilon, w_i \dots w_k) \xrightarrow{>}{}^{*} (B, w_j \dots w_k) \xrightarrow{>}{}^{*} (BC, \varepsilon) \xrightarrow{>}{}_{R} (A, \varepsilon)$ 

#### Conclusion

- Context-free grammars: most popular grammar formalism in NLP.
- Parsing algorithms.
  - today, shift-reduce
  - next time, CKY
- Outlook:
  - combine CFG parsing with statistics
  - more expressive grammar formalisms