
Computational Linguistics

Assignment 1 (2018-10-23)

Winter Semester 2018/19 – Prof. Dr. Alexander Koller

This assignment assumes that you are using Python, and that you have installed and familiarized yourself with the NLTK 3 library. For full credit, do Problem 1 and one of Problem 2 and Problem 3. Feel free to do all three, or to extend one of the problems, for extra credit.

1 Zipf’s Law

Empirically verify Zipf’s law. Use the following freely available corpora:

- King James Bible (in Piazza)
- The Jungle Book (in Piazza)
- SETIMES Turkish-Bulgarian parallel newspaper text
<http://opus.lingfil.uu.se/download.php?f=SETIMES2/bg-tr.txt.zip>

For each corpus, compute a list of unique word forms sorted by descending frequency. Preferably using the Python libraries `numpy` and `matplotlib`, plot the frequency curves for the corpora, i.e. x-axis is position in the frequency list, y-axis is frequency. Make sure to provide both a linear curve, and a log-log curve (see methods `matplotlib.pyplot.plot` and `matplotlib.pyplot.loglog`) for each corpus.

Provide a brief discussion of the findings, as well as the source code.

2 Random Text Generation

In this assignment, you will reimplement the “Dissociated Press” system that was developed by MIT students in the 1970s (see Wikipedia). The purpose of this system is to generate random text from an n -gram model over a corpus.

Train an instance of the `n-gram` class from Piazza using a corpus of your choice (from Problem 1 or elsewhere), and name it `ngram`. You can then use `ngram[context]` to determine the probability distribution for the next

word given the previous $n - 1$ words. Given this distribution, you can use the method `generate` from the NLTK class `ProbDistI` to generate the next random word.

Use your system to produce a number of text samples, 100 words in length per each. Vary n from 2 to 4. Submit a few interesting texts that your system generates, and discuss how the quality (and creativity) of the generated outputs changes with n . Also submit your source code, and document any dependencies, such as links to the selected corpora.

3 Statistical Dependence

In statistical NLP we frequently make independence assumptions about relevant events which are not actually correct in reality. We are asking you to test the independence assumptions of unigram language models.

Pointwise mutual information,

$$\text{pmi}(w_1, w_2) = \log \frac{P(X_t = w_1, X_{t+1} = w_2)}{P(X_t = w_1) \cdot P(X_{t+1} = w_2)} \approx \log \frac{f(w_1 w_2) \cdot N}{f(w_1) \cdot f(w_2)},$$

is a measure of statistical dependence of the events $X_t = w_1$ and $X_{t+1} = w_2$; $f(w)$ is the absolute frequency and N is the size of the corpus. If the probability of the next word in the corpus being w_2 is unaffected by the probability of the previous word being w_1 , then $\text{pmi}(w_1, w_2) = 0$; otherwise the pmi is positive or negative.

Calculate the pmi for all successive pairs (w_1, w_2) of words in a corpus of your choice. Words (not word pairs!) that occur in the corpus less than 10 times should be ignored. List the 20 word pairs with the highest pmi value and the 20 word pairs with the lowest pmi value.

Document and submit your observations and code. Discuss the validity of the independence assumption for unigram models.